

**2003 – 2004 Highway Maintenance Contracts
Frequently Asked Questions
Last Update September 9, 2003**

Service Area 04 (Howe Sound)

Q1. Section of Highway 99 (Sea to Sky) from Culliton Creek to Cheakamus Canyon is being reconstructed at this time and anticipated to be completed in year 2005. Has the section been temporarily removed, due to the construction project from the current maintenance contract through Article 5 (Article 6 in the new agreement)? If not, will it be deleted from the new contract on day 1 until year 2005? In Volume I, Chapter 5, Appendix “A”, timeline for project WP6-section from depot road to Culliton Creek has been omitted. Will the section impact on the maintenance contract similarly to the other STS corridor improvement projects and when?

A. No

The ministry has not yet made this decision and therefore until such time as the inventory is removed through the appropriate mechanism, a proponent should include this work in their bid.

The timeline for project WP-6 is the most current information we have available at this time. As new information becomes available it will be posted.

Q2. We wish to submit a proposal at the Base Annual Price of \$9,810,030 with a reduction in Quantified Maintenance Services (QMS) of \$600,000., that being a value of QMS of \$1,687,127.

Should the Sea to Sky Deletion occurs, according to clause 1.9 in the Price Proposal Information, pro-rated adjustment of target levels does not carry forward and the Maintenance Contractor would be required to complete the full amount of post Sea to Sky Deletion QMS of \$1,933,458. (as stipulated in clause 1.13 of the Price Proposal Information). Does the Contractor have an obligation of \$246,331. in QMS – or are we misinterpreting something? We received the following answer... The contractor would not have an obligation of \$246,331. Prior to the Sea to Sky deletion, the contractor has sufficient advanced warning to complete all the required quantified work. If during the RFP process the quantified work is reduced by clause 1.8 in the Price Proposal, the reduced quantities become the required quantities prior to deletion.

Does this not leave the contractor with a loss of \$246,331 in Routine Maintenance recognizing the Contractor’s contractual obligations per Clause 16.6 & 16.8 of the Maintenance Agreement or are we misinterpreting something?

A. **This will be rectified in Amendment # 5**

Q3. Where is Schedule 25 of the Maintenance Agreement “Sea to Sky Highway Maintenance Contract”?

A. The lawyers are in the process of working on it. It will be included as an amendment to the RFP.

Q4. Regarding Q&A 43 from the August 18, 2003 FAQs, quantities for Timber Truss activities, the changes have not been made to the Target Levels of Quantified Maintenance Services for these activities. Are these changes going to be made?

A. Items 69 & 70 Timber Truss quantities should both be zero. These changes have been reflected in amendment # 4.

Q5. The Sea to Sky project timelines show WP8 Cheakamus Canyon north to Function Junction finishing on two different dates; the graphics shows this section being complete spring of 2009 and the time line reference table shows this section completing March 2008. Which one of these is correct?

A. The new projection dates for completion is Sept/Oct 2008.